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Table S1. Parameters for the TIP4P/ice water model, [1] OPLS-UA methane, [2] and the CLAYFF force field. [3] $\sigma$ and $\epsilon$ are the Lennard-Jones parameters, in units of nm and kJ/mol, respectively; $q$ is the partial charge of an atom in units of elementary charge (e); $m$ is the atomic mass in units of g/mol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>atom</th>
<th>$\epsilon$ [kJ/mol]</th>
<th>$\sigma$ [Å]</th>
<th>$q$ [e]</th>
<th>$m$ [g/mol]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.8822</td>
<td>0.31668</td>
<td>-1.1794</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5897</td>
<td>1.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Montmorillonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si (st)</td>
<td>7.70065 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.330200</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>28.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al (ao)</td>
<td>5.56388 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.427100</td>
<td>1.575</td>
<td>26.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg (mgo)</td>
<td>3.77807 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.526400</td>
<td>1.3598</td>
<td>24.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (ob)</td>
<td>0.650170</td>
<td>0.316556</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (obos)</td>
<td>0.650170</td>
<td>0.316556</td>
<td>-1.1808</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (oh)</td>
<td>0.650170</td>
<td>0.316556</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (ohs)</td>
<td>0.650170</td>
<td>0.316556</td>
<td>-1.0808</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H (ho)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>1.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>1.47545</td>
<td>0.215954</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>22.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. S1. Time variation of the potential energy for the two systems. Due to the thermostat and barostat, the initial configuration undergoes significant changes. The potential energy rapidly increases, leading to the partial destruction of the hydrate crystal cages. Subsequently, the potential energy slowly decreases until reaching equilibrium, and the hydrate reforms to a stable state of equilibrium.
Fig. S2. The number of clathrate cages as a function of time for the two simulation systems (a) PHS, and (b) MHS. This temporal trend is consistent with the potential energy variation shown in Figure S1.

Fig. S3. Time variation total cage number in (a) PHS and (c) MHS system and the cage number negative derivative to time, (b) PHS and (d) MHS
Fig. S4. Time variation of two types of hydrate cage numbers constructed by water in the static system and in the flow system, (a) PHS, (b) MHS

Fig. S5. Snapshots of polycrystalline hydrate dissociation in clay nanopores at 347 K and different pressure gradients: (a) 5 MPa/nm, (b) 10 MPa/nm, (c) 20 MPa/nm, (d) 30 MPa/nm.
Fig. S6. Snapshots of monocrystalline hydrate dissociation in clay nanopores at 347 K and different pressure gradients: (a) 5 MPa/nm, (b) 10 MPa/nm, (c) 20 MPa/nm, (d) 30 MPa/nm.

Fig. S7. Velocity profiles of water molecules in clay pores for different pressure gradients for the (a) PHS and (b) MHS systems at 347 K.